



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,352	08/09/2000	Yanxiang Cao	03848-00029	03848-00029 5730	
28315	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER		
	WITCOFF LTD., 'S FOR AFFYMETRIX		KIM, YOUNG J		
1001 G STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ELEVENTH			1637		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/634,352	CAO ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Young J. Kim	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officianely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo</li> </ol>			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
0. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the Final Office Action, all rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) were properly maintained as the claims embraced generic amplification process, wherein the arguments made by Applicants were not specifically recited in the claims. In response, Applicants amended the claims to linear amplification process, which would require further consideration and search to determine whether the now amended process of linear amplification process would be found obvious over the prior art not previously cited. While Applicants contend that the instant amendment would not raise new issues requiring further search (page 9, 3rd paragraph), it is not persuasive because MPEP 714.13 states that Applicants cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, except when an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some way requires only cursory review by the examiner. Applicants have neither adopted the examiner's suggestions nor removed issues for appeal and the amendment requires more than a "cursory review." Therefore, the present amendment will not be entered.

-

YOUNG J. KIM "PATENT EXAMI**NE**R KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/5/09